

## Association of Cancer Related Fatigue with other symptoms and Quality of Life

## A Ghoshal\*, A Damani, MA Muckaden Department of Palliative Medicine, Tata Memorial Centre, Dr E Borges' Road, Parel, Mumbai 400012



Cancer -- related fatigue is a "distressing, persistent, subjective sense of physical, emotional, and/or cognitive tiredness or exhaustion related to cancer or cancer treatment that is not proportional to activity and that interferes with usual functioning" (NCCN)

Incidence is 60% to 90%

It usually co-exists with a number of other symptoms, the relative importance of which is not known in Indian population.

## **Objectives**

Primary:

To determine the correlation of factors associated with severity of fatigue in advanced cancer patients.

Secondary:

To determine whether the severity of fatique has any impact on the Quality of Life domain.

To explore the predictors of improvement in fatigue at first follow-up visit Hypothesis Multiple symptoms and biological

parameters influence fatique in patients with advanced cancer, which in turn negatively affects their quality of life.

author email: arun.bata@vahoo.com

Prospective observational over 6 months, Total number of pat screened CTRI no. : REF/2014/02/006537 Recruited at baselir Inclusion Criteria: Available for follow Advanced adult literate cancer patients with ECOG <4, ESAS Fatigue score>o Median age who can adhere to follow-up schedule/ or Males over phone between 15-30 days after Cancer type baseline assessment Screening and accrual process Stage IV • Patients matching the eligibility criteria, consented were enrolled Fatigue scores Initial m Met the palliative care team on the score(SD) Recruitm day of the referral from oncology OPD 5(2.05) and once again between 15-30days Baseline Variables fatigue Disease Demographic information Site of ca Medical information • Symptom burden (including fatigue): Edmonton Symptom Assessment System (ESAS) 1-10 scale Sites of n Quality of life: EORTC QLQ-C15-PAL ECOG sc First visit • The study protocol followed standard palliative care Body wei Hemoglo Albumin Same as first ESAS ite Pain In case the patient does not turn up for follow up, the same data were captured by Nausea Depressi telephonic interview and recorded Second Adequate referrals to other care providers Anxiety visit were given as and when needed Appetite Wellbeir Shortnes

Methods

<u>Results</u>							Correlations						
ients 1542						Factors	Unstandardiz ed		Stand ardize	t			
e 5		500						Coem	cients	Coeffi			
up		402 (82 died as natural course of advanced cancer, 16 subjects not traceable)								cients			
		52 years						В	Std.	Beta			
		51.6%							Error				
Head-neck:23.29 Gastrointestinal:				% : 21.2	%								
92%						Constant	5.623	1.229		4.574			
C ~ 1	rola	tions				1							
edian	Fol	low up ian	ow up Z statistics		p value		Marital status	.174	.067	.081	2.607		
score(SD)							FCOG	722	11/	225	6.258		
4(2.27) -9.238				<0.001			.,-5			0.550			
at baseline p value			2	phi/ Cramer'									
elated	variat	oles					albumin levels	477	.118	144	-4.038		
ncer		<0.001		0.39	2/ 0.277								
netastasis 0.010			0.01	9/0.019		ESAS item	s						
					Nausea		Nausea	.106	.037	.098	2.889		
ore		<0.001 0.49		<u>+96/0.351</u>		Wellbeing	203	.063	153	-3.217			
ght		0.003		0.24	3/0.172		Dysppoes	072	025	06/	2 061		
bin leve	el 🛛	0.015		0.14	.0/0.140			.073	.035	.004	2.001		
level <0.001			0.257/0.257			Overall		5 100113					
ms							quality of	.027	.004	277	- 7.001		
		<0.001		0.31	4		Emotional						
n		<0.001		0.404			functionin	.019	.004	.181	4.912		
		<0.001		0.20	5	g							
		<0.001		-0.4	71	Constinut							
g		<0.001		-0.5	92		on	.005	.002	067	2.082		
s of breath		<0.001		0.204		ļ.							

	ed Coefficients		ardize d Coeffi cients			Confidenc e Interval		
	В	Std. Error	Beta			Low er Bou nd	Upp er Bou nd	
Constant	5.623	1.229		4.574	.000	3.20 7	8.03 9	
Marital status	.174	.067	.081	2.607 6.358	.009 .000	.043 .500	.305 .946	
ECOG	.723	.114	.225					
Serum albumin levels	477 .118		144	-4.038	.000	- .709	- .245	
ESAS item	5							
Nausea	.106	.037	.098	2.889	.004	.034	.179	
Wellbeing	203	.063	153	-3.217	.001	- .327	- .079	
Dyspnoea	.073	.035	.064	2.061	.040	.003	.142	
EORTC-QC	L PAL1	5 items					_	
Overall quality of life	- .027	.004	277	- 7.001	.000	- .034	- .019	
Emotional functionin g	ional ionin .019 .c		.181	4.912	.000	.011	.027	
Constipati on	- .005	.002	067	- 2.082	.038	- .009	.000	

Stand t Sig. 95%



Logistic regression model to predict										
improvement in fatigue at follow up										
	В	B S.E.		df	Sig.	Exp (B)	95% C.I.for EXP(B)			
							Low er	Upp er		
Hemo globin level	- .206	.092	5.07 6	1	.024	.814	.680	·974		
Album in level	.737	.292	6.39 7	1	.011	2.09 1	1.181	3.702		
Pain score	- .196	.077	6.44 5	1	.011	.822	.706	.956		
Dyspn eascor e	.361	.115	9.76 6	1	.002	1.434	1.144	1.798		
Physic al functi oning	- .171	.080	4.50 2	1	.034	.843	.720	.987		
QOL associ ated with Insom nia	- .012	.006	4-55 5	1	.033	.988	.977	.999		

<u>Conclusions</u> Fatigue score improved over a short period of time with palliative care consultation and was positively associated with the changes in the hemoglobin and albumin levels, pain, dyspnoea, physical functioning, and insomnia on QOL scale. **Limitations** 

Single centered referral based study Future considerations Prospective studies with advanced cancer patients with no fatigue as another cohort