INTRODUCTION

• Community-based palliative care (CBPC) enhances the value of health care for persons with serious illness

• Access remains dependent on clinician referrals

• Given their value-based focus, Medicare Accountable Care Organizations (ACOs) provide a potential opportunity for proactive and consistent palliative care referral

AIMS

Within a Medicare ACO:

• To develop a risk scoring tool to screen for seriously-ill patients who may benefit from CBPC services

• To assess CBPC referrals using triggered electronic health record (EHR) alerts combined with use of the risk scoring tool

METHODS

• SITE: ACO patients hospitalized in 3 hospitals in central North Carolina

• EHR REPORT: We developed an EHR weekly report of ACO patients who had a non-elective hospitalization and lived within the area covered by CBPC

• TRIGGERS FOR REFERRAL: We identified ACO patients for referral to CBPC using a risk scoring tool with 7 domains, based on current evidence for potential to benefit

Risk Scoring Tool

1. Physical Domain/Serious Illness (1 point each)
   a. Cancer (metastatic or hematologic)
   b. Renal failure, end stage
   c. Advanced dementia
   d. Advanced liver disease or cirrhosis
   e. Diabetes with severe complications
   f. Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS)
   g. Acquired immune deficiency syndrome (AIDS), CD4 < 100
   h. Hip fracture with significant neurodegenerative condition
   i. COPD or interstitial lung disease: home O2 or admit reason
   j. Congestive heart failure, admit reason

2. Utilization (1 point each)
   a. 30 day readmission in last 6 months
   b. 3 unplanned hospitalizations in last 6 months
   c. More than 3 ER visits in last 6 months
   d. Hospitalization longer than 2 weeks in last 6 months

3. Age
   a. 76-85 years old (1 point)
   b. 86 and older (2 points)

4. Functional Domain (1 point if any)
   a. Fall within last 6 months
   b. Durable medical equipment ordered
   c. Receiving assistance with any activities of daily living (ADL)

5. Existing Advance Care Planning in EHR (1 point if any)
   a. No documented advance care planning note
   b. No documented advance directive (Living Will, HCPOA)

6. Psychosocial Support (1 point if present)
   a. Documented concern for lack of psychosocial support (e.g., financial strain, lack of transportation, etc.)

7. Symptoms (1 point if present)
   a. Uncontrolled symptoms (e.g., pain, depression, etc.)

   Scoring: ≥ 5 points = Likely to benefit from CBPC, clinician reviews; 3-4 points = May benefit, clinician reviews; ≤ 2 = Unlikely to benefit

Exclusion Criteria:

• Primary diagnosis: Serious mental illness, personality disorder, or substance abuse
• Non-compliance as primary driver

RESULTS

Figure 1. Identifying ACO Patients in Need of CBPC

Within a Medicare ACO about 1/3 (34.4%) of recently hospitalized patients were referred to CBPC using a semi-automated screening process.

CONCLUSIONS

• Combining triggered identification of ACO patients with an evidence-based risk tool provides a systematic and efficient method to identify those who have potential to benefit from CBPC

• This proactive approach may improve early and consistent referrals, and overcome barriers found in a provider-initiated referral-based system

• Future research is needed:
  – Automate the risk scoring system
  – Evaluate whether identified ACO patients actually benefit from community-based palliative care services
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