Analyzing Trade-offs and Making Decisions A Staffing and Workload Webinar

Jennifer Allen, MD, Chief of Palliative Medicine and Hospice Lehigh Valley Health Network

Tom Gualtieri-Reed, MBA, Partner Spragens & Gualtieri-Reed

Donna Stevens, MHA, Program Director, OACIS/Palliative Medicine Lehigh Valley Health Network

October 30, 2019



The Center to Advance Palliative Care **NATIONAL SEMINAR** NOVEMBER 14-16, 2019

Atlanta Marriott Marquis

Pre-Conference Workshops: Boot Camp and Payment Accelerator WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 13



CAPC palliativef CAPC palliative# CAPC Seminar19

Join us for upcoming CAPC events

- → Upcoming Webinars:
 - Advancing the Field of Pediatric Palliative Care
 - Friday, November 6 at 11:00am ET
 - An Interdisciplinary Panel Discussion about Staff Changes and Workload Management (A Staffing and Workload Webinar)

Thursday, December 12 at 12:30pm ET

- → Virtual Office Hours:
 - Improving Team Effectiveness
 - Thursday, October 31 at 2:00pm ET
 - Measurement for Community Palliative Care

Tuesday, November 5 at 2:00pm ET



Analyzing Trade-offs and Making Decisions A Staffing and Workload Webinar

Jennifer Allen, MD, Chief of Palliative Medicine and Hospice Lehigh Valley Health Network

Tom Gualtieri-Reed, MBA, Partner Spragens & Gualtieri-Reed

Donna Stevens, MHA, Program Director, OACIS/Palliative Medicine Lehigh Valley Health Network

October 30, 2019



Learning Objectives

 Identify principles that can help programs achieve growth goals and secure associated resources

 Describe four factors that can impact staffing and workload planning across settings

Understand how to analyze staffing and workload trade-off decisions



Survey

→ Based on your experience, what have been the biggest factors that have impacted staff you needed and how many patients your team could see? (select up to 3)

□ Mix and complexity of patients

- Geography (e.g. location of ICU, driving distance)
- □ Team composition (do you have a triage role?)
- □ Skill and experience of team
- □ Role of palliative care service (co-management, consult only)
- Presence of learners
- Budget (driving what you have available to work with)
- □ wRVU targets / expectations



Common Questions...

- →What are wRVU's (worked Relative Value Unit) and how do they relate to productivity targets for my team?
- →How many consults should an MD, APP, or any team member see each year?
- \rightarrow What is the right staffing model?
- →And given palliative care is a team sport...how many patients can a team care for?



The Answer...

"It depends..."

→ Staffing mix - do you have an RN coordinator, dedicated social worker, etc.

- → Size and complexity of the organization large hospital, multiple sites, home
- → Integrated or not
- → Setting and geography travel time
- → Learners
- → Others....



Interdependent Variables Impact Program Design, Staffing, and Volume



Fundamental Strategies to Consider When Making Staffing and Workload Decisions and Trade-Offs



1: Establishment of Organizational Culture and Mission

Clarify the purpose and scope of the program	 You can get pulled in many directions – set boundaries 				
Define the role of each setting	 Who are you serving in the clinic or home and why are you there? 				
Partners and stakeholders	Can you partner and share resources?What do your referring partners need from you?				
Bottom Line	 There are limited resources - work with what you have Are you demonstrating impact and value to your funders? 				



2: Understand Challenges to and Plan for Stable Staffing

Limited workforce	 Assess and develop the skills of the staff you have Be creative and thoughtful about recruitment 				
Workload distribution	 Establish ranges for workload (e.g. 2-4 new consults) Monitor individual/team thresholds day-to-day 				
Isolation & burnout	 Maintain connections to the team and communicate Consider risks of 100% clinical time expectations 				
Retirements & planned transitions	Start planning now for a known transitionExpect some % of turnover				



3: Assess Volume and Staffing via Strategy (revisiting Needs Assessment)

Changing priorities	 Has there been a growth or reduction in referrals from one disease type or referral source? Why? 			
Changing partners	Are there new community-based services?Is there a new group practice or hospital?			
Altering target population and corresponding resource allocation	Do you need a team for a new geography or unit?Does your team have the skills needed?			

TIP: Continuously work to understand what stakeholders need.

CCOPC Center to Advance Palliative Car

4: Continuously Assess the Team to Optimize Skills and Strengths

Capitalize on team members' strengths and passions	 Right team member at the right time with the right patient 			
Transparency	 Create safe space for team members to ask for help and offer help in high or low volume periods 			
Resiliency/Support	 Take time for team and individual health Be conscious of burnout and stress in peak periods 			



Case Example: Lehigh Valley Health Network



Lehigh Valley Health Network OACIS/Palliative Medicine

Programmatic design via Needs Assessment in 2006





Budgeting Considerations

- → MD and CRNP Benchmarks are inpatient, but we use them for both inpatient and outpatient
- → 2018 AMGA, MGMA, SCA wRVU benchmark weighted avg:
 - **MD** median = 2248, 65th = 2549
 - **CRNP** median = 1894, 65th = 2177
- → Used to be median, now budget at 65th-90th percentile wRVU's
- → New staff budgeted at 85% of median
- → No SW or LPC billing



Budgeting Considerations (cont'd)

- Visits/revenue based on historical billing not benchmarks
- → All wRVU targets are budgeted per individual provider, but we are held accountable as roll-up
- → Home-based CRNP's are between 65th and 90th percentile of inpatient benchmarks
- → CAPC Impact Calculators for inpatient and outpatient used when accounting for deficit
- Hospital benefits from inpatient financial impact, insurance companies benefit from outpatient financial impact



LVHN Inpatient Palliative Medicine Team

Inpatient partnership with hospitalists and sub-specialists

Consult service with 4 MD's, 4 CRNP's, 1 LCSW, 1 LPC, 1 RN, chaplain

Covering 2 hospitals + Tele to outlying site

3,000 consults/year

50-90th percentile wRVU generation

Inpatient revenue covers 50% of total cost



Inpatient LVHN Guiding Principles to Manage Workload

- → MD or CRNP + LCSW/LPC/Chaplain/RN = clinical team
- → MD/CRNP see all consults due to culture of provider billing and clinical partnership
- → Triage consults to identify professional expertise needs
- Schedule based on historical volume
- → RN monitors day-to-day volume of each hospital for resource needs
- → Keep people whole!
- → Build in connections during the day
- → Maintain IDT schedule



Inpatient Guiding Principles for High-Volume Days (M-F)

- → Triage of follow-up visits--and on rare occasion initial consult--may be done by LCSW/LPC/Chaplain/RN depending on clinical needs
- →Cut-off time or max number of consults set
- →Some may be left for the following day, preferably not Fridays



Inpatient Guiding Principles for High Volume Weekend Coverage

- →Ongoing schedule includes limited staffing that covers both weekend days and two sites
- → Triage acuity of consults to assess urgency
- → Set max hours or consults per day
- →Only go to one site/day



LVHN Outpatient OACIS Team

Home-Based practice + Office-Based practices (growing)

Partnership with PCP's and sub-specialists

Co-management consult service with 7 CRNP's, 1 LCSW, 2 RN's, 2 MA's

Covering 750 square miles

1800 unique patients/year

75-90th percentile wRVU generation

Outpatient revenue covers 60% of total cost





Case Study: Home-Based Practice

- → Census target of 100 per CRNP; cap of 125 per CRNP
- → RN's partner with 3 CRNP's to manage population
- → MA's support home-based and office
- Map/regions contract and expand with network need and staffing
- → Each CRNP has designated region, co-managed with another CRNP
- → Coordinate time off with partner
- → Primary focus preventive, minimal urgent visits



Outpatient Guiding Principles for Managing Home-Based Volume

- →If CRNP is out, RN's triage scheduled visits to assess acute needs
- → Alternate CRNP is consulted, makes visit if possible
- →RN reschedules visits



Outpatient Guiding Principles for Managing Home-Based Volume

→ Social Worker

- Consultant to the CRNP's
- Case management/brief counseling
- Connects with all other social workers in the network to assure one plan of care and obtain supports
- When absent, clinical support staff triages needs and forwards to other network social workers



Case Study: Integrated Office Practice

- → Organizational Home: Physician Group
- → Major stakeholders: Sub-specialist
- → Consultative/Co-management role
- → Population
- → Regionalization
- → Focus/scheduling of visits
- → Use of support staff
- → Scheduling challenges



Outpatient Guiding Principles for Office-Based Practice Developing Volume

Needs Assessment is Stak the roadmap guid

Stakeholder goals guide the mission

Seek support and guidance from partners

Collaborate with partners on metrics, present dashboard regularly to demonstrate progress

Back-up clinical site for slow am or pm slots MA functions as quarterback to manage multiple sites



Managing Barriers

Revisiting Needs Assessment

Re-aligning goals with stakeholders

Open dialogue with the team regarding changing priorities

Allow for non-billable time



The Math

Example Staffing and Workload Modeling Tool for Team-based Staffing



Examples of Trade-offs and Variables That Will Impact Your Staffing and Workload Plans



Example B:

Patient vs. provider convenience

- Narrow geographic coverage maximizes staff time but may not be as easily integrated into more patient's overall care
- Consider creative solutions such as 1st visit in person followed by telephone follow up

Example A:

In a co-management vs. consult only model:

- Likely more visits for a longer duration
- Expect fewer new consults due to capacity being used in co-management follow up visits



TEAM Staffing and Workload Model

Staffing Mix	Scenario 1 Lower follow up frequency	Scenario 2 Moderate follow up frequency	Scenario 3 Higher follow up frequency			HOME-B	ASED EXAMP	LE
MD	0.5	0.5	0.	5				
APP	2.0	2.5	3.			Scenario 1 Lower follow up frequency	Scenario 2 Moderate follow up	Scenario 3 Higher follow up frequency
SW	1.0	0.5	0.					
	 TOT/ Factors:						frequency	
ΤΟΤ				New		500	400	300
Drive timeDocumentation time				Follov up	/ -	1300	1500	1700
	 New v follow up mix Capacity per day PTO/CE NO SHOWs 			ΤΟΤΑ	L	1800	1900	2000
•								Center to
							C	Advance Palliative Care

Thank you!

Questions and Discussion



How to Submit Questions

Please type your question into the questions pane on your WebEx control panel.



